



COLLECTOR RESOURCE 01

Understanding Mineral Specimen Quality & Value

Why two examples of the same mineral can be completely different

QUALITY



“People do not experience minerals as a spreadsheet.”

When I first started collecting minerals, I assumed quality would be relatively easy to understand. A cleaner crystal would be better than an included one, a bigger specimen would be worth more than a small one, and if something was rare it would naturally be expensive.

I soon found out that mineral collecting does not work quite like that.

I notice it most when I am sorting through a parcel containing several specimens from the same locality. They may have the same mineral name, come from the same deposit and be fairly similar in size, but once they are laid out next to each other the differences become much more obvious.

One might have stronger colour, while another has a better termination. A third may be more transparent but less interesting overall. Sometimes the technically cleanest specimen is not the one I prefer at all, because another crystal has better proportions or sits on its matrix in a way that makes the whole piece more appealing.

That is where judging mineral specimens becomes much more complicated than working through a list of specifications.

People do not experience minerals as a spreadsheet. We see the whole specimen first and usually work backwards to understand why we like it.

Crystal form is a good example. One of the reasons I became increasingly interested in natural specimens rather than polished material was being able to see the shape the mineral actually developed underground. The crystal faces, terminations, growth lines and contact points are still there, and sometimes part of the original matrix remains attached as well.

A well-formed crystal can be impressive because the geometry is so clear, but that does not mean a specimen needs to be perfectly symmetrical. Some of the pieces I find most interesting have complicated growth, natural etching, intergrown crystals or evidence that something interrupted the crystal while it was forming.

FORM & COLOUR

Quartz illustrates this particularly well because there is so much variation hidden behind one very familiar mineral name. Swiss Alpine quartz remains one of my favourite examples, especially the slender Tessin habit crystals, faden quartz, dark morion and specimens with unusually precise provenance. It is far from the only place capable of producing exceptional material, though.

Brandberg in Namibia has produced quartz combining amethyst, smoky colour and an extraordinary variety of inclusions. Herkimer County in New York is famous for remarkably clear, naturally double-terminated quartz crystals, while Arkansas has produced some of the clearest and most impressive quartz groups in North America. Brazil adds another enormous range of forms, colours and inclusions again.

They are all quartz, but reducing them to the mineral name misses almost everything that makes the individual specimens interesting.

Colour creates the same problem. With some minerals, strong colour can make an enormous difference, but darker does not automatically mean better. The appeal may come from saturation, evenness, zoning or the way light moves through the crystal.

Colombian emerald is a good example for me because the colour can be extraordinarily intense even in rough, included crystals. Imperial Topaz is quite different, with its appeal often coming from more complicated peach, pink, salmon and reddish tones rather than one strong block of colour.

Fluorite shows just how varied that judgement can become. The deep purple and yellow banding associated with Blue John from the Castleton area of Derbyshire is completely different from the greens and purples seen in classic Weardale material. Move to deposits such as Huanggang in Inner Mongolia or Yaogangxian in China and the mineral can appear again in very different colours, forms and associations.

The chemistry is still fluorite. The specimen can look as though it belongs to another mineral world entirely.

Transparency and clarity matter, although mineral specimens are not judged in quite the same way as cut gemstones.

A transparent crystal can allow light to reveal internal zoning, colour or inclusions, but inclusions are not automatically a disadvantage. Rutile inside quartz can become the most interesting feature of the specimen. Fractures and inclusions in a natural emerald may tell us something about the environment in which it grew rather than simply making it an inferior version of a faceted gemstone.

MATRIX & ASSOCIATIONS

I think this distinction becomes easier once you stop expecting natural crystals to behave like jewellery.

A cut gemstone has been deliberately shaped to emphasise particular qualities. A natural specimen has not. It may carry contact points where another crystal grew beside it, sections of matrix, growth interruptions or surfaces that record what happened around it underground.

The imperfections can sometimes be part of the information.

Matrix is another thing I underestimated when I began collecting. I initially preferred loose crystals because I assumed the mineral itself was the important part. Now some of the specimens I find most interesting are those where the original rock has been left attached.

A Moroccan wulfenite with orange crystals standing above contrasting matrix can have far more presence than the same crystals removed from their setting. Epidote from Hakkâri can become much more interesting where dark green blades rise directly against pale quartz, while a well-positioned garnet on matrix can make the entire specimen feel naturally composed.

Matrix does not automatically make a specimen better. If the crystal is poorly positioned or the overall piece is visually confused, leaving more rock attached does not solve the problem. What matters is whether the relationship between the mineral and the matrix adds something.

Associated minerals can have the same effect. Fluorite beside calcite or quartz may become more interesting because of the contrast between the crystals. Rutile inside quartz changes the character of the specimen completely, while combinations of garnet, feldspar, mica or other minerals can preserve much more of the geological setting than an isolated crystal ever could.

More minerals do not automatically mean more value. The association still has to make sense within the specimen.

CONDITION & SIZE

Condition is one of the harder things to judge when somebody first begins buying natural crystals. It is tempting to look for a specimen with no chips, contacts or imperfections at all, but crystals grow inside rock rather than in empty display cabinets.

Another mineral may have occupied the space beside it. Part of the host rock may have restricted the way one face developed. Two crystals may have grown against each other and left a contact when they were separated. Those features can be part of the original growth history.

Fresh damage during extraction or handling is different, and collectors generally do care about it. The difficulty is learning enough about natural crystal growth to recognise the difference.

Some minerals also survive far less easily than their appearance suggests. Fluorite has perfect cleavage and can chip or split surprisingly easily. Wulfenite crystals can be extremely thin and fragile. Halite is soft, brittle and soluble in water. A sharp specimen may already have survived mining, cleaning, packing and transport from the other side of the world before it reaches a collector.

Size causes just as much confusion.

Red beryl is a useful example because the crystals are often remarkably small. Tanzanite creates another version of the same problem. Fine natural crystals from the Merelani Hills can carry intense colour and recognisable crystal form without needing to be enormous, while a large but heavily damaged specimen may be much less appealing.

Some minerals simply do not commonly form large, attractive crystals. A small specimen with strong colour and recognisable form may therefore be much more significant than its dimensions suggest.

The more useful question is how unusual that size is for the material, particularly when good colour, form and condition have survived as the crystal becomes larger.

This is one reason mineral prices can look so strange from the outside. Somebody may see a specimen weighing only a few grams priced above another crystal hundreds of times its size and assume the numbers make no sense.

Usually there are several things happening at once. One locality may be far more restricted. The colour may be better. The crystal may be unusually complete. Comparable material may appear infrequently, or the specimen may have particularly strong provenance.



AESTHETICS



“Two experienced collectors can understand a specimen equally well and still prefer completely different pieces.”

Then there is aesthetics, which is much harder to explain without pretending it is completely objective.

Sometimes I can compare two specimens and explain why one has better colour or a cleaner termination, then find myself repeatedly returning to the other one. Perhaps the proportions are more pleasing. Perhaps the crystal is positioned perfectly on the matrix. Sometimes there is a small inclusion or irregularity that makes it more interesting rather than less.

The more minerals I handle, the more attention I pay to those reactions.

Experience helps because you gradually learn what is normal for a particular mineral and locality. You recognise unusual crystal habits, better colour, characteristic associations and the kinds of damage that matter. That knowledge changes what you notice, but it does not remove personal taste.

Two experienced collectors can understand a specimen equally well and still prefer completely different pieces.

Rarity complicates the picture further. When I started Rare Minerals Earth, I was much more interested in simply finding minerals that were difficult to obtain. Over time, I became less interested in rarity on its own and more interested in the quality of the individual specimen within that rarity.

A genuinely rare mineral can still be badly formed or unattractive. Quartz can be common and occasionally produce a specimen that is extremely difficult to replace.

I now find it more useful to consider how often I am likely to encounter another example of similar quality. That takes the mineral species, locality, form, condition and aesthetics into account rather than relying on the word “rare” to explain everything.

VALUE

“The mineral name tells me what I am holding. Everything else helps me decide whether I want to keep looking at it.”

There is no formula that turns those things into an exact value. The market matters, as does collector demand. Fashion occasionally matters far more than I would like it to. Provenance, locality and the availability of comparable specimens all influence what somebody is prepared to pay, but somebody still has to want the crystal in the first place.

That is probably the biggest change in how I understand mineral quality now. I started by trying to find rules that would tell me which specimen was best, but handling enough minerals gradually taught me to look more carefully.

The mineral name tells me what I am holding. Everything else helps me decide whether I want to keep looking at it.

